AGENT: Mr Robert Pomery - Pomery

Planning Consultants Ltd.

Pappus House
Tollgate West
Stanway
Colchester
CO3 8AQ

APPLICANT: Mr Steve Davies
New Moze Hall
Beaumont Road
Great Oakley
Harwich
Essex
CO12 5BH

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

APPLICATION NO: 20/00228/FUL **DATE REGISTERED:** 17th February 2020

Proposed Development and Location of Land:

Proposed change of use of coach house to residential dwelling. New Moze Hall Beaumont Road Great Oakley Harwich

THE TENDRING DISTRICT COUNCIL AS LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY <u>HEREBY</u> <u>REFUSE PLANNING PERMISSION</u> in accordance with the application form, supporting documents and plans submitted for the following reason(s)

Paragraph 11 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2019 (NPPF) states that plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development. For plan-making this means that plans should positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs of their area. Strategic policies should, as a minimum, provide for objectively assessed needs for housing. For decision-taking this means approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay. Paragraph 12 of the NPPF states that presumption in favour of sustainable development does not change the statutory status of the development plan as the starting point for decision making. Where a planning application conflicts with an up-to-date development plan permission should not usually be granted.

Section 1 of the Local Plan (which sets out the strategy for growth across North Essex including Tendring, Colchester and Braintree) has been examined by an Independent Planning Inspector who issued his final report and recommended 'main modifications' on 10th December 2020. The Inspector's report confirms that, the housing and employment targets in the plan have been confirmed as sound, including the housing requirement of 550 dwellings per annum in Tendring. The Council has now formally adopted Section 1 part of the development plan which carries full weight in the determination of planning applications - superseding, in part, some of the more strategic policies in the 2007 adopted plan. In the interim, the modified policies in the Section 1 Local Plan, including the confirmed housing requirement, can be given significant weight in decision making owing to their advancement through the final stages of the plan-making process.

For the purposes of the determination of this application the Council can currently demonstrate that a 5 year housing land supply exists. Engaging the presumption in favour of sustainable development under paragraph 11d) of the NPPF does not apply in this instance. The policies for the delivery of housing are considered up-to-date and the

application must therefore be determined in accordance with Paragraph 11 c) of the NPPF, thus in accordance with the development plan.

The application site lies outside of any Settlement Development Boundary as defined within both the adopted Tendring District Local Plan (2007) and the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (2017). There is no longer a requirement to consider such sites due to their location outside of the defined settlement development boundaries as the planned growth for the District to meet housing need has been established. The adverse impacts of the proposal both on the character of the locality and on the Council's ability to manage growth through the plan-led approach, are not outweighed by any benefits or other material considerations. The development is unnecessary and there are no public benefits that might warrant the proposal being considered in an exceptional light. The proposal is therefore contrary to the aims of paragraph 11c) of the NPPF and contrary to the development plan Saved Policy QL1 and emerging Policy SP1.

2 Paragraph 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019), achieving sustainable development means meeting a social objective.

Emerging Policy SPL1 of the Publication Draft of the Local Plan 2017 includes a 'settlement hierarchy' aimed at categorising the district's towns and villages and providing a framework for directing development toward the most sustainable locations therefore being in line with the aims of the aforementioned paragraph 8 of the NPPF. This is the emerging policy equivalent to Saved Policy QL1 of the adopted Tendring District Local Plan 2007 which states that development should be focussed towards the larger urban areas and to within development boundaries as defined within the Local Plan.

Beaumont and Great Oakley - the closest settlements of any size, are categorised in emerging Policy SPL1 as a 'Smaller Rural Settlement' in recognition of its size and small range of local services, and are considered to be the least sustainable settlements for growth. In this instance however, the application site is located over 2km and 3km from the edge of defined settlement boundaries further diminishing any social sustainability credentials of the site as it is far from any of the already limited range of local services within the boundary. Whilst there is a bus service along the B1414, that main road is accessed via a sloping, narrow and unlit farm track some 750m long and the main road has no footpaths or lighting, and walking or cycling to either a bus stop or the built up area of Beaumont or Great Oakley would be dangerous and impractical.

In this regard, there is no access to day to day needs within a practical walking distance and the conditions are potentially unsafe on this 60mph country road. Any social sustainability credentials of the site are severely diminished due to its distance from the nearest built up area which already has limited local services. It is highly likely that the occupants of the proposed dwelling would be car dependant failing to promote sustainable modes of transport therefore failing to meet the social strand of sustainable development.

Regardless of the Council's housing land supply position, the application fails to meet the social strand of sustainable development as set out within the NPPF.

The site lies within tidal Flood Zone 3a defined by the 'Planning Practice Guidance: Flood Risk and Coastal Change' as having a high probability of flooding. The proposal is for the proposed change of use of coach house to residential dwelling, which is classified as a 'more vulnerable' development, as defined in Table 2: Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification of the Planning Practice Guidance. Therefore, to comply with national policy the application is required to pass the Sequential and Exception Tests and be supported by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA).

Paragraph 155 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2019 states inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk. Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Paragraph 157 states that Local Plans should apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid where possible flood risk to people and property and manage any residual risk, taking account of the impacts of climate change, by (inter alia) applying the Sequential Test. Paragraph 158 further explains that the aim of the sequential test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest risk of flooding. Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower risk of flooding. The sequential approach should be used in areas known to be at risk now or in the future from any form of flooding.

Where the sequential test shows that it is not possible for the development to be located in zones with a lower probability of flooding, the exception test should be applied when appropriate. For the exceptional test to be passed, it must be demonstrated that firstly, the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk and secondly, that a site-specific flood risk assessment demonstrates that the development is appropriately flood resilient and resistant over its lifetime. The Government's Planning Practice Guidance sets out that the exceptional text is required for 'More Vulnerable Uses' within Flood Zone 3a.

Saved Policy QL3 of the adopted Tendring District Local Plan 2007 supports this approach by stating that the Council will ensure that flood risk is taken into account at all stages in the planning process to avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding, whilst for all proposed sites within Flood Zones 2 and 3, the sequential test must be applied to demonstrate that there are no reasonably available sites in a lower flood risk area.

These sentiments are echoed in draft policy PPL1 of the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft 2017, which states that all development proposals will be considered against the National Planning Policy Framework's flood risk 'sequential test' to direct development toward sites at the lowest risk of flooding unless they involve development on land specifically allocated for development.

The application is accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) to which the Environment Agency raise no objection subject to the Sequential and Exception Tests. However, the application fails to provide a flood evacuation plan and the FRA is lacking in other areas. The overriding aim of flooding policy is to direct new development away from areas at highest risk. Officers find no essential reason to locate the proposed dwelling in a high flood risk area and thus the Sequential Test is not passed. Given that finding, there is no requirement to apply the Exception Test. The application of Framework policies to direct inappropriate development away from areas with the highest risk of flooding provides a clear reason for refusing the development.

4 Under the Habitats Regulations, a development which is likely to have a significant effect or an adverse effect (alone or in combination) on a European designated site must provide mitigation or otherwise must satisfy the tests of demonstrating 'no alternatives' and 'reasons of overriding public interest'. There is no precedent for a residential development meeting those tests, which means that all residential development must provide mitigation.

The application scheme proposes a residential on a site that lies within the Zone of

Influence (ZoI) being approximately being approximately 420m from the Hamford Water Ramsar site. New housing development within the ZoI would be likely to increase the number of recreational visitors to the Hamford Water and in combination with other developments it is likely that the proposal would have significant effects on the designated site. Mitigation measures must therefore be secured prior to occupation.

A completed unilateral undertaking has not been provided to secure this legal obligation. As submitted, there is no certainty that the development would not adversely affect the integrity of European Designated Sites and the application is therefore contrary to Policies EN6 and EN11a of the Saved Tendring District Local Plan 2007, Policy PPL4 of the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft and Regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations 2017.

DATED: 19th February 2021 **SIGNED**:

Graham Nourse Assistant Director Planning Service

IMPORTANT INFORMATION:-

The local planning authority considers that the following policies and proposals in the development plan are relevant to the above decision:

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework February 2019

National Planning Practice Guidance

Tendring District Local Plan 2007

QL1 Spatial Strategy

QL2 Promoting Transport Choice

QL9 Design of New Development

QL10 Designing New Development to Meet Functional Needs

QL11 Environmental Impacts and Compatibility of Uses

QL12 Planning Obligations

HG1 Housing Provision

HG9 Private Amenity Space

COM6 Provision of Recreational Open Space for New Residential Development

EN1 Landscape Character

EN3 Coastal Protection Belt

EN6 Biodiversity

EN11A Protection of International Sites European Sites and RAMSAR Sites

EN11B Protection of National Sites SSSI's, National Nature Reserves, Nature Conservation Review Sites, Geological Conservation Review Sites

EN11C Protection of Local Sites: Local Nature Reserves, County Wildlife Sites, Regionally Important Geological/Geomorphological Sites

TR7 Vehicle Parking at New Development

Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017)

SPL1 Managing Growth

SPL3 Sustainable Design

PPL1 Development and Flood Risk

PPL2 Coastal Protection Belt

PPL3 The Rural Landscape

PPL4 Biodiversity and Geodiversity

HP5 Open Space, Sports & Recreation Facilities

CP1 Sustainable Transport and Accessibility

Local Planning Guidance

Essex County Council Car Parking Standards - Design and Good Practice

Positive and Proactive Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by identifying matters of concern with the proposal and discussing those with the Agent. However, the issues are so fundamental to the proposal that it has not been possible to negotiate a satisfactory way forward and due to the harm, which has been clearly identified within the reason(s) for the refusal, approval has not been possible.

The attached notes explain the rights of appeal.

NOTES FOR GUIDANCE

WHEN PLANNING PERMISSION IS REFUSED OR GRANTED SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS

APPEALS TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE

- If you are aggrieved by the decision of your local planning authority to refuse permission for the proposed development or to grant it subject to conditions, then you can appeal to the Secretary of State under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- If you want to appeal, then you must do so within the set time frame as outlined below:
 - a. If this is a decision to refuse planning permission for a householder application, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision then you must do so within 12 weeks of the date of this notice. A Householder Appeal Form is required, available online at https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate
 - b. If this is a decision to refuse planning permission for a minor commercial application, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision then you must do so within **12 weeks** of the date of this notice. A **Planning Appeal Form** is required, available online at https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate
 - c. If you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on a development which is not caught by a. and b. above then you must do so within 6 months of the date of this notice. A Planning Appeal Form is required, available online at https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate
- Appeals must be made using the relevant form (as detailed above) which you can get from the Secretary of State at Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN (Tel: 0303 444 5000) or online at https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate. Please note, only the applicant possesses the right of appeal.
- The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal, but will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal.
- The Secretary of State need not consider an appeal if it seems to the Secretary of State that the local planning authority could not have granted permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions imposed having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of any development order and to any directions given under a development order.
- If you intend to submit an appeal that you would like examined by inquiry then you must notify the Local Planning Authority and Planning Inspectorate (inquiryappeals@planninginspectorate.gov.uk) at least 10 days before submitting the appeal. Further details are on GOV.UK.

ENFORCEMENT

- If this is a decision on a planning application relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as is already the subject of an enforcement notice, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within 28 days of the date of this notice.
- If an enforcement notice is served relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as in your application and if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within 28 days of the date of service of the enforcement notice, or within 6 months (12 weeks in the case of a householder or minor commercial appeal) of the date of this notice, whichever period expires earlier.